#### CITY OF OSWEGO WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT WILLIAM J. BARLOW, JR. MAYOR



WESTSIDE: 2 FIRST AVENUE OSWEGO NY 13126 PHONE: (315) 342-3777 PHONE: (315) 342-2500 FAX: (315) 342-8233

EASTSIDE: 71 MERCER STREET **OSWEGO, NY 13126** FAX: (315) 342-8233

April 14, 2020

TO: USEPA - NPDES CSO@epa.gov

NYSDEC - NYSDEC Regional Engineer/Region 7

Thomas M Vigneault (thomas.vigneault@dec.ny.gov)

SUBJECT: City of Oswego CSO Public Notification Annual Report

In accordance with USEPA document entitled "Public Notification Requirements for Combined Sewer Overflows to the Great Lakes Basins", the City of Oswego respectfully submits this Annual Report for the period of January 1 - December 31, 2019. The Report, which covers CSO's listed under two separate SPDES Permits, NY0029106 & NY0029114, addresses the following elements:

- 1. A description of the location and receiving water for each CSO discharge point, and, if applicable, any treatment provided;
- 2. The cate, location, approximate duration, measured or estimated volume, and cause (e.g., rainfall, snowmelt) of each wet weather CSO discharge that occurred during the past calendar year;
- 3. The date, location, duration, volume, and cause of each dry weather CSO discharge that occurred during the past calendar year;
- 4. A summary of available monitoring data for CSO discharges from the past calendar vear:
- 5. A description of any public access areas potentially impacted by each CSO discharge:
- 6. Representative precipitation data in total inches to the nearest 0.1 inch that resulted in a CSO discharge, if precipitation was the cause of the discharge:
- 7. Permittee contact information, if not listed elsewhere on the website where this arnual notice is provided; and
- 8. A concise summary of implementation of the nine minimum controls and the status of implementation of the long-term CSO control plan (or other plans to reduce or prevent CSO discharges), including:
  - A description of key milestones remaining to complete implementation of the plan; and
  - ii. A description of the average annual number of CSO discharges anticipated after implementation of the long-term control plan (or other plan relevant to reduction of CSO overflows) is completed.

As provided for in the regulations, this report consists of newly prepared tables and existing report data regarding NY0029106 (Outfalls #002-EFMF; #003-Riverwalk; #004-Main Plant cischarge to Gardenier Creek) and NY0029114 (Outfall #002-Detention Basin).

In summary, during 2019 there were 30 CSO events reported to the public from four outfalls as follows:

- 1. 10 overflows from Westside Gardiner Creek outfall 004;
- 2. 11 from V/estside EFMF SPDES permitted outfall 002;
- 3. 1 from Riverwalk outfall 003 and:
- 4. 8 overflows from Eastside Detention Basin SPDES permitted outfall 002.

Table 1 summarizes dates, locations, durations, volumes, precipitation amounts and whether the event occurred during dry or wet weather. Table 2 summarizes all analytical data from permitted overflows as required by the SPDES permit for that CSD outfall.

Copies of pertinent pages from the SPDES required Annual CSO Reporting for NY0029106 and NY0029114 provide the remaining Public Notification Annual Notice information.

Please contact me, if there any questions regarding the report.

Sincerely

Kenneth Scherrieble

Superintendent of Wastewater Treatment

EC: Mayor Wi liam J. Barlow Jr.

Dan Famer, Chief Operator - Eastside WWTP John McGrath, Chief Operator – Westside WWTP Jeffrev Hinderliter, City Engineer Robert Johnson, Engineering Technician Ryan Naldron, P.E. NYSDEC, Albany

Valarie Ellis, P.E.1, Region 7

Val Mural:ami, Region 7 Assistant Engineer



# TABLE 1 CSO PUBLIC NOTIFICATION ANNUAL REPORT SPDES PERMIT NO. NY0029106

NY0029106 CSO #002 is a permitted outfall & receives Primary Treatment & Disinfection. Disinfection is provided June 1 through September 30. Outfalls 003 & 204 are untreated.

DATE	LOCATION	DURATION	VOLUME MGD	WEEKLY RAINFALL SNOWMELT	CAUSE
2/6/19	EFMF 002	7 H 8 M	1.75	.86"	WET WEATHER
3/15/19	EFMF 002	15 H 54 M	2.25	.54"	WET WEATHER
5/14/19	EF WF 002	14 H 46 M	2.25	1.57"	WET WEATHER HIGH RIVER LEVELS
6/2/19	EFMF 002	4 H 46 M	0.03	.60"	WET WEATHER HIGH RIVER LEVELS
6/20/19	EFMF 002	10 H 8 M	1.20	2.97"	WET WEATHER HIGH RIVER LEVELS
6/25/19	EFMF 002	2 H 22 M	0.06	.57"	WET WEATHER HIGH RIVER LEVELS
7/17/19	EF MF 002	1 H 4 M	0.20	2.71"	WET WEATHER HIGH RIVER LEVELS
7/18/19	EFMF 002	6 H 21 M	0.25	2.71"	WET WEATHER HIGH RIVER LEVELS
10/17/19	EFMF 002	NO DATA	0.14	2.01"	WET WEATHER
10/31/19	EFMF 002	NO DATA	0.19	2.67"	WET WEATHER
12/14/19	EFMF 002	NO DATA	0.18	1.71"	WET WEATHER
2/5/19	SSO 004	15 M	0.001	.86"	WET WEATHER
2/6/19	SSO 004	6 H 35 M	0.092	.86"	WET WEATHER
3/15/19	SSO 004	5 H 42 M	0.967	.54"	WET WEATHER
5/10/19	SSO 004	4 H 20 M	0.171	1.57"	WET WEATHER
5/13/19	SSO 004	1 H 30 M	0.014	1.57"	WET WEATHER
5/13/19	SSO 004	16 H 58 M	0.265	1.57"	WET WEATHER
6/20/19	SSO 004	23 H 33 M	41.246 **	2.97"	WET WEATHER
10/16/19	SSO 004	2 H 27 M	0.045	2.01"	WET WEATHER
10/31/19	SSO 004	9 H 47 M	0.075	2.67"	WET WEATHER
12/14/19	SSO 004	11 H 10 M	0.374	1.71"	WET WEATHER
6/20/19	CSC RW 003	1 H 30 M	0.06	2.97"	WET WEATHER

<sup>\*\*</sup> Lake Ontario flowing back into outfall #004, which affected the flow recorder.



# TABLE 1 CONTINUED CSO PUBLIC NOTIFICATION ANNUAL REPORT SPDES PERMIT NO. NY0029114

NY0029114 CSO #002 is a permitted outfall & receives Primary Treatment & Disinfection all year.

an year.					
DATE	LOCATION	DURATION	VOLUME MGD	WEEKLY RAINFALL SNOWMELT	CAUSE
2/6/19	DB 002	12 H 15M	0.18	.86"	WET WEATHER
3/15/19	DB 002	19 H 45 M	1.05	.54"	WET WEATHER
5/15/19	DB 002	19 H 10 M	0.99	1.57"	WET WEATHER
6/20/19	DB 002	47 H 10 M	2.25	2.97"	WET WEATHER
6/25/19	DB 002	3 H 25 M	0.09	.57"	WET WEATHER
7/17/19	DB 002	6 H 5 M	0.17	2.71"	WET WEATHER
10/31/19	DB 002	5 H 33 M	0.36	2.67"	WET WEATHER
12/14/19	DB 002	7 H 7 M	0.79	1.71"	WET WEATHER



# TABLE 2 NY0029106

2019 Westside Excess Flow Management Data Summary (Outfall 002)

Date	Influent TSS mg/l	Influent BOD mg/l	Effluent TSS mg/l	Effluent BOD mg/l	O&G mg/l	Fecal Coliform mpn/100
2/6/19	37	35.4	50	14.6	<4.8	NA
3/15/19	59	15	15	18.5	<4.8	NA
5/14/19	18	28.7	12	5.6	<4.8	NA
6/2/19	32	22.5	<4.0	2.4	<4.8	1
6/20/19	178	30.6	196	42.6	<5	1299.7
6/25/19	46	134	46	16.7	<5	2419.6
7/17/19	62	32.9	28	19.9	<5	1553.1
7/18/19	142	<40	76.4	28.9	<5	980.4
10/17/19	40	24.2	29.2	18.9	<5	NA
10/31/19	26	28.6	17.6	17.4	<5	NA
12/14/19	70	62.2	46	19.4	<5	NA

# 2019 Eastside Detention Basin Data Summary (Outfall 002) NY0029114

Date	Influent TSS mg/l	Influent BOD mg/l	Effluent TSS mg/l	Effluent BOD mg/l	O & G mg/l	Fecal Coliform mpn/100
2/6/19	<b>5</b> 3	38.4	17	17	6.2	1732.9
3/15/19	45	7.9	6	14.5	<4.8	2419.6
5/15/19	106	30.8	8	13.3	<4.8	21.3
6/20/19	368	103	68	28.9	<5	89.35
6/25/19	184	91.9	19.2	22.1	<5	2419.6
7/17/19	<b>9</b> 6	74.9	33.6	30.4	<5	2419.6
10/31/19	58	51.8	22	36.3	<5	2419.6
12/15/19	94	42.3	34.8	34.1	< 5.6	5.7



# Public Notification Requirements for Combined Sewer Overflows

Supplemental Information taken from the:

Annual Report for Best Management Practices for Combined Sewer Overflows NY0029106

PERMITTEE NAME: City of	Oswego SPDES PERMIT No.:	NY- <b>0029</b> 106	PAC   <b>11</b>
	Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information	11 0023 100	35 - 36 -
CSO Facility: Westside V	Vastewater Treatment Plant	Flow:	4.0 <sub>MGD</sub>
SECTION A: CSO LTCP GET	NERAL INFORMATION		
LTCP Development/imple	mentation:		
Check all that apply:	Describe other controls currently being used or planned. Also describe h Control Policy have been met.		
In Development	The LTCP continues to progress. As stated in last year's report the	ne Westside Sani	itary System
Submitted	has completed the second 25% of a separation project along with 25% rehabilitation project. The EFMF upgrade allows for 3.5 MG	completion of th	e second
Approved	plant. EFMF includes 150,000 gallons storage capacity during we	ਹ to be pumped et weather in add	to the main
In Progress	disinfection facilities. Main plant wet weather capacity is 12 MGD	Design for the	3rd phase of
Completed	Combined Sewer separation has been completed and related cor	nstruction is sche	duled to
Not Required	begin in spring 2020.		
Check all that apply:  Source Controls  Collection System Controls  Storage Technologies  Treatment Technologies  Floatable Controls  Disinfection  Type: Hypo Seasona	Ongoing Sewer Maintenance continues to provide for maximum of WSSTP. On completion of the 3rd phase of sewer separation, we reduced. Overflow events at the Excess Flow Management Facili 11 (there were 6 in 2018), however, 6 of these events resulted from holding tank, which left little room for storm water to be contained occurred at Outfall #003, again, due to high river level causing ba interceptor sewer line.	apacity and flow by weather flow w ity (002) increase m river water bac . There was only	to the ill be further ed in 2019 to cking into the 1 event that
Post-Construction Compli	ance Monitoring (PCCM) Program:		
Check all that apply:	Describe PCCM findings, status, updates, and future plan. Attach a separa describe if the PCCM confirms that LTCP is meeting the t objectives of the	nte sheet if necesso CSO Control Policy	ary <u>and</u> v
In Development	Preliminary monitoring and testing was performed in 2006. Post-co		
Submitted	scheduled to be completed on January 1, 2023.		

Submitted
Approved
In Progress
Completed
Not Required

SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029106

PAGE 1

### Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

#### SECTION D: Collection System Information

	Baseline	After CSO BMP and or LTCP Implementation	Current
Percentage of the collection system owned by the permittee that is combined.	Appx. 33%	Ap⊃x. 8%	Appx. 16%
Approximate no. cf miles of combined sewers in the permittee owned system	15 miles	4 miles	8 miles
Number of combined sever outfalls in the permittee owned system	2	2	2
Average annual no. of CSO events in the permittee owned system	32	< € est.	11*
Average annual CSO volume discharged from the permittee owned system (MG)	44.6 mg	< 7 mg est.	18.05 mg*
Population served b▼ the permIttee's owned system	16350	13350	16350
Number of satellite system connections	2	2	2

Use the space below to provide any further relevant information on the collection system. This should include a description of any unique ownership, operation and maintenance agreements or further explanation and description of satellite system connections. (Attach extra sheets, f necessary):

( City of Oswego - Department of Public Works Maintains O & M on the City of Oswego Collection System )

The Town of Oswego - Ontario Heights Sanitary Sewer District - Satellite System - O & M maintained by Town of Oswego

State - State University of New York (Oswego New York) Sanitary Sewer District - Satellite System - O & M maintained by SUNY Oswego

\* Actual not averaged

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Oswego
-----------------	----------------

SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029106

P & G . | 2

### Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION F: Use this section to describe how the implementation of the LTCP development and implementation have met the water quality standards of the receiving stream(s) and also objectives of the EPA CSO Control Policy (attach extra sheets as

During 2019, design plans for 3rd Phase sewer Rehab & separation were completed. Construction will begin in the spring 2020. 11 permitted overflows from the EFMF (Outfall 002) occured, however, 6 of these events (6/1/19-7/31/19) resulted from high river water levels that caused back up into the underground holding tank and keeping it filled. Cosequently, when stormwater events were taking place and water entered the tank as designed, it automatically resulted in an overflow to the river. In short, there was no storing capacity in the underground holding tank during these events.

SECTION G: Use the following space to summarize other planned CSO control projects (attach extra sheets as necessary):

EFC No. C7-6344-19-02: 25% - Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO Separation) - Completed

EFC No. C7-6344-19-02: 25% - Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO Rehabilitation) - Completed

EFC No. C7-6344-19-04: Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) - Sewer Rehabilitation - Part III of Area 1 - Completed 11/1/14

EFC No. C7-6344-19-05: Excess Flow Management Facility Pump Station and Upgrade - Completed

EFC No. C7-6344-19-06: Oswego Rehabilitation Area 2 - Design Started in 2015, Bids for work du∋ 2/15/17 for completion by 11/1/17. (Smoke testing, cleaning and TV work) - Completed 1/17/18

EFC No. C7-6344-19-07: Combined Sewer Separation Second 25% Phase 2, - Completed 9/25/17

EFC No. C7-6344-19-07: Combined Sewer Rehabilitation Second 25% Phase 2 - Completed 10/22/18

EFC No. C7-6344-19-03: Combined Sewer Separation Third 25% Phase 3: Survey and GEO Technical work complete. Mandated completion cate 11/1/21

EFC No. C7-6344-19-03: Combined Sewer Rehabilitation Third and Fourth 25% Phase 3: Sewer Investigations completed on 11/2/18. Mandated completion date 11/1/21

EFC No. C7-6344-19-09: Oswego Sewer Rehab Area 3 - Sewer Investigations completed 10/1/18. Mandated completion date 11/21/21.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belies, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name: Johp McGrath

Official Title:

Chief Operator/Westside

Phone: 315 342-3777

Signatur/e:

Date Signed:

Email: labmanager@oswegony.org

PERMITT	EE V	IAME:
---------	------	-------

Cit<u>▼</u> of Oswego

SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029106

# PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Check N/A if not required in the permit, consent order, or LTCP:

1. CSO Maintenance/Inspection 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(2) (EPA NMC: Proper Operation and Maintenance)	YES	NO	N/A
Is there a written program for the operation, inspection and maintenance of the CSS?	V		Š. A.
Does the program includ∈ procedures for ALL outfalls in the permit?	V		
Does the program include procedures for ALL regulators in the permit?	V		
Are inspections conducted at least as frequently as required in the permit (weekly or monthly)?	~		
Are inspections concucted during dry and wet weather?	V		
Do the inspection reports indicate visual inspection, any observed flows, incidence of rain or snowmelt, condition of equipment, and any work required?	~		
Are inspection reports submitted to the DEC regional office with the monthly operating reports?	~		
Is the written program sufficiently detailed? Indicate which of the following additional components are included in the plan.	~		
Pump Stations	V		
Sewer clearing	V		
Sewer Manholes and Catch Basins	~		
Outfalls	V	-	
CSO Contro s	V		
Are there inter-municipa agreements which require inspection and maintenance?		V	
Are any changes planned in the upcoming year for the agreements to make them more effective?		V	
Is the collection system mapped using GIS?		V	
Entire system, including manholes and catch basins?		V	
In the past year, was significant mapping progress accomplished?		V	
In the upcoming √ear, is GIS mapping planned?		V	
Is the collection system monitored using a SCADA system?	V		
In the past year, was significant progress accomplished in installing or expanding monitoring with a SCADA system?		~	
In the upcoming year, is installation of a SCADA system planned or being expanded?	~		
Does the municipality have an asset management plan that includes the collection system?	~		
Are funds available to carry out the BMP requirements?	~		
Are any major equipment purchases planned or expected in the next five years related to the BMF requirements? If yes, describe below		~	
Is the pump inventory, including spare parts, adequate for the upcoming year?	~		
Is sufficient staff training available?	~		

Is funding for training adequate and available?  Is sufficient staff training available?  Is funding for training adequate and available?  Have any work efforts or problems in the past year resulted in changes in overflows? If yes, describe below Fewer events  Less volume	YES  V	NO	N/A
Is funding for training adequate and available?  Have any work efforts or problems in the past year resulted in changes in overflows? If yes, describe below  Fewer events	<b>V</b>	NO .	N/A
Is funding for training adequate and available?  Have any work efforts or problems in the past year resulted in changes in overflows? If yes, describe below  Fewer events	~		
Have any work efforts or problems in the past year resulted in changes in overflows? If yes, describe below Fewer events	-		
Fewer events	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN
			S STATE
Less volume	-	~	
EGS VOIGH IC		V	
Reduction in floatables, settleable solids or oil and grease discharged	~		
Reduction in indestrial pollutants (chemicals)		V	
Improvement in water quality of receiving waterbody	V		
In the past year, was the inspection and maintenance program mostly:			
Reactive (responding to problems)		V	
Proactive (focusing on preventative maintenance to avoid problems)?	V		
If the program is mostly reactive, describe below any plans to shift the emphasis to prevention			V
Describe below how this BMP implementation has met the requirements of the SPDES permit, and the objectives of Minimum Controls. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)  During 2019, there were 11 overflow events that occurred at the EFMF. It is estimated that 6 of these events the development of the second and filling the Underground Storm dry weather conditions. Anich did not allow for containment of stromwater during an event. It is likely the water intrusion, the stormwater events during the summer period, would not have resulted in an overflow Combined Sewer Separation Second 25% Phase 2, completed 2018  Combined Sewer Rehalb litation Second 25% Phase 2 - completed 2018  Combined Sewer Rehalb litation Third Phase - plans completed 2019 - Construction to begin in spring 202 Combined Sewer Rehalb litation Third Phase - plans completed 2019  2. Our inspection and magintenance program is proactive but when a non problem area becomes a proton the priority list for increased inspections	ents oc rage Ta at abse w even	curring ink duri nt the r t.	ng iver

Р	FRN	ΛIT	TEE	NΔ	ME:
	LIM	/11 1		11/2	HVIE.

SPDES PERMIT No.: NY-0029106

# PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

2. Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 750-2.8(a)(2), 750-2.8(a)(5) (EPA NMC: Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage)	Yes	No	N/A
Are CSOs minimized and flow to the treatment plant maximized?	V		
Has the hydraulic capacity of the system been evaluated?	V		
Is there a continuou: program of flushing and cleaning to prevent deposition of solids?	V		
Have regulators and weir: been adjusted to maximize storage without causing service backups?			V
In the past year or the upcoming year, have any changes to structures or procedures been made or planned that will improve use of the collection system for storage? Describe below		~	
Tic egat∋s maintenance/repairs/replacement			V
FOG program			V
Removal of small systems bottlenecks			V
Sewer deaning and sediment removal	V		
Removal of flow obstructions			V
Regulabr or welr adjustment - list locations below			V
In-line storage: Inflatable dams or sluice gates			V
Wet W∈ather Operating Plan	V		
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have a water conservation program for homeowners?		~	
In the upcoming year are there any studies, work, or projects planned (other than routine activities) to improve use of collection system for storage? Describe below.		V	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. Attach extra sheet if necessary)  In 2015 Preliminary work began on project C7-6344-19-06 - Smoke testing, cleaning, and TV work. Bids for work was completed on 11.1/17			roject
Combined Sewer Rehabilitation Second 25% Phase 2 - completed 2018			
Wet weather operating plan was updated in 2017, but will likely be amended in 2019.			

PERMITT	EE N	AME:
---------	------	------

SPORS DEPMIT NO . NV.

TEMORITEE MAINE: SIT OF OSWOGO SPIDES PERMITINO.: INY-	00291	06	
PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
3. Industrial Pretrestment 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f) and 2.9(a)(4)  (EPA NMC: Review and Modify Pretreatment Requirements)  N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Has the impact on CSOs from nondomestic users that discharge toxic pollutants been evaluated, and steps taken to minimize such impacts?			V
Is there an approved pretreatment or mini-pretreatment program?	1		
If there is no pretreatment or min-pretreatment program, are there any nondomestic users? If No to both of the previous questions, go to BMP 4			V
Is there an inventory of industrial dischargers? Is the following information included?	V		
Volume of cischarge?	V		
Pollutants in discharge?	V		
Are any poliutan is classified as "persistent toxics" or bioaccumulative?		V	
Is the location included on the collection system map?	V		
Are there any industrial discharges that could reach CSO outfalls?		~	
If yes, have any industrial dischargers been identified as contributing to a water quality impairment?			~
If yes, does the industry have a holding tank or EQ tank to store wastewater prior to discharge to the collection system?			~
If yes, does the industry have a written plan to store or hold discharges during rain events?			~
If yes, has the incustry been asked to prepare a written plan to store or hold discharges?			V
In the past year, have there been negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers which will potentially reduce impacts during CSO events? Describe below.		~	
In the upcoming year, are any negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers planned which will potentially reduce impacts during CSO events? Describe below.		~	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS B VIP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF	тне ЕРА	NINE	
MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)			
•			

PARTI	III - CSO	BEST	MANAGEMENT	PRACTICES
-------	-----------	------	------------	-----------

4. Mayimiza Flau ta BOTIAL C NVCRR 750 2 7/61 2 8/-1/21 2 8/-1/51				
4. Maximize Flow to POTM 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 2.8(a)(2), and 2.8(a)(5) (EPA NMC: Maximum Flow to POTW for Treatment) N/A		YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were the headworks, primary treatment works and disinfection works able to pass the flespecified in the permit for all wet weather flows?	:ws	1		
In the past year, was the secondary treatment works able to treat the flows specified in the permit for all weather flows?	•vet	~		
If the answer to either of the above questions was No, has a plan and schedule to accomplish this been submitted to the Department?				<b>V</b>
In the past year have there been any physical modifications to the collection system which have allowed a flow to reach the POTW? Describe below.	re	V		
Are any physical modifications planned for the upcoming year?		1		
Are there areas of the collection system, including pump stations that need additional study to evaluate capacity, condition, or to determine if illegal connections (i.e. inflow) exist? List below			~	
In the past year, have any new problem areas been identified that restrict flow to the plant? List locations below			~	
In the upcoming year, are there plans to address hydraulic restrictions or bottlenecks?				~
Pipe replacement				V
Construction of rallef sewer				V
Construction of everflow tank				~
Pump station improvements				<b>V</b>
Pump replacement				V
Weir adjustment				~
Smoke testing, dwe testing to identify illicit connections		<b>V</b>		
Other:				V
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS B MP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECT MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)  2014 Upgrade of EF MF has restored normal flow of up to 3.5 MGD to reach the POTW  In 2015 Preliminary work began on project C7-6344-19-06 - Smoke testing, cleaning, and TV work. Bids for 2/15/17. Project completed on 11/1/17.  Combined Sewer Rahabilitation Second 25% Phase 2 - completed in 2018  Combined Sewer Saparation Second 25% Phase 2 - completed in 2018			Nine	

D				
PER	MIT	TEE	NΑ	MF:

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Oswego	SPDES PERMIT No.:	NY-00291	106	
	PART III - CSO BEST MAN				
5. Wet Weather	r Operating Plan (WWOP) 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a) (EPA		YES	NO	N/A
Has a WWOP been of weather events while operation?	evalcped, specifying procedures for unit operation on the procedures for unit operation of destabilizing for destabilizi	ns, to maximize treatment during warreatment upon return to dry weath	et er		
In the past year, dic upon return to norm	reatment of wet weather flows cause any effluer al service?	t violations or destabilize treatment	~		
Has the WWOP beer POTWs with Combin	developed in accordance with the DEC guidance, and Sewers"? If no, describe changes needed.	"Wet Weather Operating Practices	for 🗸		
Has the WWOP been approval?	r sub <b>r</b> itted to the Regional Office and Bureau of V	Vater Permits (Albany) for review a	tr kr		
If the collection systems flow rates or new properties.	em or plant has been modified or upgraded, has thocedares?	ne WWOP been modified to reflect	13M	~	
If yes, has t	<b>b</b> e re <b>~i</b> sed plan been submitted to the Regional Of	fice for approval?		V	
Does the plan identi disinfection units?	by the maximum flows through preliminary, prima	ry, secondary treatment, tertiary, a n	nd 🗸		
In the upcoming yea	-, are changes to the plan expected?		V		
In 2016 we update was again updated preserving Plant Ef	d our Wet Weather Operating Plan and we upon in 2019 and included additional measures for Fluent quality.	lated it again in 2017. The Wet ♥ maintaining maximum flow into ▮	Veather Ope ne Main Plai	erating nt, whil	Plan e
	#				
	26				

PERMITTEE	NAME:
-----------	-------

PERMITTEE NAME: CIT OF US	wego	SPDES PERM	IIT No.: NY-C	00291	06	
	PART III - CSO BEST MA	ANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
6. Prohibition of D y Weat (EPA NMC: Elimizate Dry N/A	ther Overflows 6 NYCRR 750-2.7 ai y Weather Overflows)	nd 2.8(b)(2)		YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were there any o	dry weather overflows? If no, skip t	to BMP 7.			V	П
Were all dry weather overflows re	eported in accordance with 6 NYCF	RR Part 750-2.7 (incident report	ing)?		V	
If dry weather overflows occurred	d, indicate which procedures or equ	uipment have been improved o	r replaced			V
Schedule for routine insp	pections					V
Management, operation	n and maintenance program					V
Modification of existing	or issuance of new inter-municipal	agreements				~
FOG program						V
Removal of illicit connec	ctions					V
I/I Control program					Ħ	V
Leaky tidegates	27.					V
Adjustment and or repa	air of regulators					V
Pumps						V
Auxiliary power		***************************************				V
Elimination of hydraulic	bottlenecks					V
Adequate d-y weather fl	low capacity at the treatment plant	t				V
Other, list below	20					V
Has additional staff training been	provided?					~
Has the likelihood of future dry w	veather overflows been eliminated	? If not, describe additional info	ormation		Ħ	~
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPL MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra	LEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMEN I sheet if necessary)	ITS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE	OBJECTI JES OF T	не ЕРА	NINE	
	es:					

_					
Di	* D1 A	ALT	FFF	MI A	MF.
-	- 13 14	/II I	1	IVA	IMIE.

SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-00004.0

111-002	910	)6	
	- 1		
/A YE	S	NO	N/A
?		V	
i? •			
year)	2		
		V	
		V	
waste			
		~	
V	1		
		V	
			~
		V	
	t:		
	/A YE ?  (A)  (B) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C	/A YES  ?  year)  ng  waste  //  waste  //  wing project:	/A YES NO  ?  Yes NO  ?  yes ')  ng  Waste  //  Waste

PΕ	RM	П	EE	N	AME:
ΓE	LIVI	111	C C	INA	AIVIE:

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Oswego		SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-	00291	06	
	PART III - C	SO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTI	CES			
8. Combined 5	ewe- System Replacement 6 NY	CRR 750-2.10(i) (EPA NMC: None	·) 🔲 N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, wer	any combined sewers designed €	or constructed that were not app	roved by DEC?		V	
If yes, was t extent poss	ne combined sewer replaced by se bla?	eparate sanltary and storm sewer	s to the greatest			~
If yes, were without inte	the separate sanitary and storm s croomections to the maximum exi	ewers designed and constructed stent practicable?	simultaneously but			~
Is the combined port	ion cf the collection system comp	letely identified on maps or GIS?		V		
Are there any plans	or current projects to separate cor	nbined sewers into sanitary and s	storm sewers?	V	Ħ	
Is there an a	pprcved engineering plan for this	project?		V		
In the past y	ear, now many areas of combined	d sewer were separated?	acres			
In the upcor separated?	ming year, how many areas of com	nbined sewer are scheduled to be		10 min		
Are the sew	er replacement projects on sched	ule? If no, describe below.		V		
Overall, has the imple discharged? Describe	ementation of this BMP resulted in e below.	n fewer overflow events and/or le	ess volume		~	
11/1/17. Project C7-6344-19-03	3 - Smoke testing, cleaning, and ™ 7: Secaration Second 25%, Phase 7: Renabilitation Second 25%, Pha	2, Bids for work due 2/15/17, was		, for com	ıpletion	on
BMP Project Update: Project C7-6344-19-0: Project C7-6344-19-0:	3 Thi d 25 % Separation Phase 3 - 3 Thi d and Fourth 25 % Rehabilita 9 Se⊌er Rehab Area 3 - Sewer inv	survey and geotechnical work cortion Phase 3 - sewer investigation	mpleted. a completed 11/2/18			

р	FR	MIT	TFF	МΔ	ME

Cit<u></u> of Oswego

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	00291	00	
12. Control of F.un-caff 6 NYCRR750- 2.1(e) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Is sediment in runoff from construction zones entering catch basins in the combined sewer system?		V	
Is there adequate communication between the local municipal department that enforces local stormwater codes and ordinances and the collection system staff regarding stormwater runoff?	~		
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have adequate storm water pollution prevention programs to reduce pollutants in stormwater?	~		
Annual household hazardous waste collection	V		
Autumn lea⁻ coll∎ction	V		
Lawn clippings	V		
Christmas tree pickup	V		
Roadkill deer composting	V		
Fertilizer and pest cide management	V		
Enforcement of litter laws	V		
Public education programs on composting	V		
Are any changes needed in the implementation of this BMP to reduce the number of CSO events, the volume discharged, or pollutants in the discharge? If yes, describe below.		V	
MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)			

_	
PERMITT:	E NAME:

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
13. Public Notification 6 NYCRR 750-1.12 (EPA NMC: Public Notification) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Have identification signs been installed and maintained at all CSO outfalls owned and operated by the permittee?	V		
Are all signs placed at or near the outfall?	V		
Are the signs easily reacable by the public?	~		
Are the signs a minimum size of 18" by 24"?	~		
Do the signs have white leters on a green background?	V		
Do all the signs contain the following information:	V		
SPDES permit number	V		
Outfall number	V		
Permittee name, contact name and phone number at business office or NYSDEC Division of Water regional contact address and phone number	~		
For waters that are C ass E or higher, is a public notification program implemented to inform citizens of the location and occurrence of CSO events?	~		
Does this program include a mechanism (public media broadcast, standing beach advisories, newspaper rotice, etc) to alert potential users of the receiving waters affected by CSOs?	~		
Does this program include a system to determine the nature and duration of conditions that are potentially harmful to users of these receiving waters due to CSOs?	~		
Were there any prob ems in the past year with missing or damaged signs? Describe below.		~	
Is there a written public notification plan?	V		
Does the plan list all methods used to notify the public of CSO events?	V		
Does the plan list outfalls where signs are posted?	V		
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVE MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)  Written public notification system is NY Alert.  Report of Noncompliance Event Forms are also posted on the City Website.	S OF THE EF	'A NINE	

_				
DC	D N 7 I T	TEF	NΙΛΙ	45
F E	rivii i	IFF	IVAI	VIF.

PART III -	CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	
	COO DEST INIVIDUCIALEM LINECTICES	

FART III - C30 BEST IVIANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
14. Characterization and Monitoring (6 NYCRR 750-1.11(a), 2.5(a) and 2.7(g)) (EPA NMC: Monitoring,	YES	NO	N/A
If required in the permit, has the combined sewer system been characterized to determine the frequency of overflows, and identify CSD impacts?	~		
Was a baseline sampling program established as part of the LTCP development?	~		
Are all outfalls monitored during discharge events for:	~		
Flow Volume:	~		
Frequency:	~		
Duration:	~		
If all outfalls are not monitored, explain how sufficient data is obtained to document the success of the BMPs.			~
List locations of rain gauges or the source of data, below.			~
Has a Post Construction Mcdeling and Monitoring plan been submitted to the Department for review and approval?	V		
Has the Departmant approved the Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan?	~		
Has post construction monitoring and modeling of the receiving water begun? Attach results if this has not already been provided.	V		
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)  Source of rain data: Wumderground.com (Weather Station at Oswego County Airport)	тне ЕРА	NINE	
Post Construction Monitoring Baseline sampling was performed in 2011.			
Sewer Separation is due to be completed in 2021			
Post Construction Monitoring plan due January 1, 2023			
2			
**			
~			



## Tom Kells

#### Commissioner ~ Department of Public Works

January 29, 2020

To: Robert Johnson, City Engineering Department

From: Tom Kells, Department of Public Works

Re: Street Sweeping

Street sweeping is done an a continual basis beginning in late March until late October, weather permitting.

The sweeping is done as follows: We first use loaders and uniloaders to scrape up sand from the streets which was deposited over the winter. Then, two sweepers begin sweeping the streets starting south of the City and working north until all streets are done. We then start the process over again continuing throughout the year.

City catch basins are cleaned regularly starting in late March until late October, weather permitting. We start by cleaning catch basins on all of the hills on both sides of the City. We then work on the less hilly areas and finally the flat areas. We clean 50% of all catch basins per year. Those that are not done that year will be done first when we get into that area the following year.

All City sanitary lift stations are checked on a daily basis for problems. They are maintained on a quarterly basis for preventative maintenance (degreased & cleaned), with the hours being recorded each time. Twice per year the pumps are pulled out and the oil is changed, they are check for any problems and the pits are scraped and cleaned.

All City sanitary and storm mains are cleaned on an as-needed basis when we are notified of a problem.

#### TK/kag

Cc: Honorable Mayor, William Barlow Jr

Mr. Craig Rebecr, Sewer Maintenance Supervisor

Mr. Kenneth Scherrieble, Superintendent of Wastewater Treatment

File



# Public Notification Requirements for Combined Sewer Overflows

Supplemental Information taken from the:

Annual Report for Best Management Practices for Combined Sewer Overflows NY0029114

PERMITTEE NAME: City	of Ds	swego SPDES PERMIT No.:	NY-0029114	PAGE 11
		Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information		
CSO Facility: East Sid	e <b>S</b> e	wer System	Flow;	MGD
SECTION A: CSO LTCP	GEN E	RAL INFORMATION		
LTCP Development/am	plene	entation:		
Check all that apply		Describe other controls currently being used or planned. Also describe h	now the objectives (	of the CSO
In Development		LTCP has been completed at this facility. The East Side Sanitary	System is 70% se	parated and
Submitted		all sanitary wastewater is treated at the East Side Treatment Plan is monitored around the clock on SCADA.	t. The single SP	at the plant
Approved				
In Progress				
Completed	<b>√</b>			
Not Required				
Collection System Controls Storage Technologies Treatment Technologies	✓ ✓ ✓	Describe other controls currently being used or planned. Also describe is Control Policy have been met under the selected controls  See Attachment 1	10w the objectives o	of the CSO
	npFar	nce Monitoring (PCCM) Program : Describe PCCM findings, status, updates, and future plan. Attach a separ	ata shaat if nasass	ary and
Check all that apply		describe if the PCCM confirms that LTCP is meeting the topjectives of the	CSO Control Policy	,
In Development		PCCM submitted in September 2012. Was deemed incomplete and correspondence from NYSDEC dated June 28, 2013. We met with		
Submitted	<u> </u>	January 22, 2016. Post Construction monitoring plan is 95% appro	ved with the only	exception
Approved		being final negotiation on Lake Ontario In-Lake Sampling Location (	see letter attache	:d).
	<b>√</b>			
Completed				
Not Required				

SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0329114

P A G E | 1

### Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION D: Collection System Information

	Baseline	After CSO BMP and/or LTCP Implementation	Current
Percentage of the collection system owned by the permittee that is combined.	unknown	27%	27%
Approximate no. of miles of combined sewers in the permittee owned system	unknown	12.15 miles	12.15 miles
Number of combined sewer outfalls in the permittee owned system	6	1	1
Average annual no. of CSD events in the permittee owned system	10	4	8
Average annual CSC volume discharged from the permittee owned system (MG)	unknown	8 98	5.9
Population served by the permittee's owned system	9,000	9,000	9,000
Number of satellite system connections	1	1	1

Use the space below to provide any further relevant information on the collection system. This should include a description of any unique ownership, operazion and maintenance agreements or further explanation and description of satellite system connections. (Attach extra sheets, if necessary):

(City of Oswego - Department of Pu	iblic Works maintains O & M on City of Oswego Collection Sys-em.)
The Town of Scriba - S≊nitary Sewe	r District - Sattelite System O & M Maintained by Scrlba

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Dswego			SPDES PERMIT No.:	NY-0 <b>0</b> 29114	PAGE   2
		Part II - CSO LTC	P Control Info		N 0023114	1 4 0 1 1
SECTION F: Use t	this section to describe	e how the implementati	on of the LTCP d	evelopment and In	nplementation have	met the
	and ards of the receiving	ng stream(s) and also ob	jectives of the E	PA CSO Control Pol	licy (attach extra sh	eets as
necessary):						
overflow facility	at the River, we have	olved in the LTCP we led increased the pumplifical #002. All of this wa	ng capacity at c	our Pump Station f	rom 1.5 mgd to 11	minated an .5 mgs and
					¥	
Because of high I	lake leve <b>ls</b> in 2017 we r	o summarize other plant raised the weir level in 00 ed the height of the Dete	2 to prevent lake	inflow. This also e	xpanded our	
		-CC				
		10				
	************					
		ument and all attachment				
system designed a	to assure that qualified p gangae the system or the	personnel properly gather ( se persons directly respon	and evaluate the li	nformation submitted	d. Based on my Inquiry	of the person
of my knowledge	and belle, true, accurat	e, and complete. I am awa	re that there are s	ignificant penalties f	or submi <b>tti</b> ng false inf	ormation,

Date Signed:

Name: Daniel Ramer

including the possibility of Ine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Email: dramer@camdengroupusa.com

Official Chief Operator East Wastewater Phone: 315 342-8246

PERMI	TTEE	NAME:	
-------	------	-------	--

SPDES PERMIT NO.;	NY-0029114
-------------------	------------

# PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Check N/A if not required in the permit, consent order, or LTCP:

1. CSO Maintenan ← Inspection 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a!(2) (EPA NMC: Proper Operation and Maintenance)	YES	NO	N/A
Is there a written program for the operation, inspection and maintenance of the CSS?	1		
Does the program include procedures for ALL outfalls in the permit?	<b>V</b>		X TES
Does the program include procedures for ALL regulators in the permit?	1		
Are inspections conducted at least as frequently as required in the permit (weekly or monthly)?	<b>V</b>		
Are inspections conducted during dry and wet weather?	<b>V</b>		
Do the inspection reports indicate visual inspection, any observed flows, incidence of rain or snowmelt, condition of equipment, and any work required?	<b>√</b>		
Are inspection reports summitted to the DEC regional office with the monthly operating reports?	<b>V</b>		
Is the written program sufficiently detailed? Indicate which of the following additional components are included in the plan	<b>V</b>		
Pump Stations	1		
Sewer cleaning	<b>√</b>		
Sewer Manholes and Catch Basins			1
Outfalls	<b>V</b>		
CSO Controls	<b>V</b>		
Are there inter-munic pel agreements which require inspection and maintenance?		1	
Are any changes planned in the upcoming year for the agreements to make them more effective?		1	
Is the collection system mapped using GIS?		1	
Entire system, including manholes and catch basins?		1	
In the past year, was significant mapping progress accomplished?		<b>V</b>	
In the upcoming year, is GIS mapping planned?		1	
Is the collection system ⊓onitored using a SCADA system?	1		
In the past year, was significant progress accomplished in installing or expanding monitoring with a SCADA system?		<b>4</b>	
In the upcoming year, is installation of a SCADA system planned or being expanded?	<b>4</b>		
Does the municipality have an asset management plan that includes the collection system?	1		
Are funds evailable to carry out the BMP requirements?	<b>V</b>		
Are any major equipment purchases planned or expected in the next five years related to the BMP requirements? Tyes, describe below	<b>V</b>		
Is the pump inventory, including spare parts, adequate for the upcoming year?	<b>V</b>		
Is sufficient staff training available?	<b>V</b>		

PERMIT	TEE	NΙΛ	ME.	
PERIVIT	I E E	IVA	IVIE:	

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
Is funding for training adequate and available?	<b>V</b>		
	YES	NO	N/A
Is sufficient staff training available?	<b>√</b>		
Is funding for training adequate and available?	<b>✓</b>		
Have any work efforts or problems in the past year resulted in changes in overflows? If yes, describe below		<b>V</b>	
Fewer events		<b>V</b>	
Less volume		1	
Reduction In floatables, settleable solids or oil and grease discharged		1	
Reduction in industrial pollutants (chemicals)		1	
Improvement in water quality of receiving waterbody		1	
In the past year, was the inspection and maintenance program mostly:			
Reactive (responding to problems)	1		
Proactive (focusing on preventative maintenance to avoid problems)?		1	
If the program is mostly reactive, describe below any plans to shift the emphasis to prevention	1		
SCADA improvemen s completed during 2018.  Reactive/Proactive - As problems occur, the area involved is put on a watch list and become proactive.			
Troductive To productive and involved is put on a water flat and beachie productive.			
150			
3			

Þ	FR	М	т	ΈE	N	Δ٨	1F.

SPDES PERMIT No.: NY-0029114

### **PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES**

2. Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 750-2.8(a)(2), 750-2.8(a)(5) (EPA NMC: Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage)	Yes	No	N/A
Are CSOs minimized and flow to the treatment plant maximized?	1		
Has the hydraulic capacit <sup>-</sup> of the system been evaluated?	1		
Is there a continuous program of flushing and cleaning to prevent deposition of solids?	1		
Have regulators and weir been adjusted to maximize storage without causing service packups?		1	
In the past year or the upcoming year, have any changes to structures or procedures been made or planned that will improve use of the collection system for storage? Describe below	<b>V</b>		
Tidegates maintenance/repairs/replacement			1
FCG pr∉gram		1	
Removal of small systems bottlenecks		<b>V</b>	
Sewer c eaning and sediment removal	1		
Removal of flow obstructions	1		
Regulator or welr adjustment - list locations below	1		
n-line storage: Inflatable dams or sluice gates			1
'W∋t W∉ather Operating Plan	1		
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have a water conservation program for homeowners?		<b>V</b>	
In the upcoming year are there any studies, work, or projects planned (other than routine activities) to improve use of collection system for storage? Describe below.		<b>V</b>	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS EMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. Attach extra sheet if necessary)  Because of high lake levels in 2017 we raised the weir level in 002 to prevent lake inflow. This also expanded our capturable quantity. In 2018 we increased the height of the Detention Basin tank which increased the capturable event.			G per
Wet Weather Operating Plan was updated in 2016 and again in 2017.			

PERM	TTEE	MARAC	٠
PERIV	<del>                              </del>	IVAIVIE	

Cit: of Osward

PERMITTEE NAME:	SPDES PERMIT	No.: MY-	00291	14	
	PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
The same of the sa	Fretr∈atment 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f) and 2.9(a)(4) viaw a⊑d Modify Pretreatment Requirements) □	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Has the impact on taken to minimize	$\ensuremath{C3Os}$ from nondomestic users that discharge toxic pollutants been evaluated, and such impacts?	steps			<b>V</b>
Is there an approv	ec prefreatment or mini-pretreatment program?		<b>√</b>		
If there is no pretr the previous quest	estmest or min-pretreatment program, are there any nondomestic users? If No to ticns, $g_2$ to BMP 4	both of			<b>V</b>
Is there an invento	or of industrial dischargers? Is the following information included?		<b>V</b>		
Volume o	of discharge?		1		
Pollutant	s in discharge?		4		
Are any p	olutarts classified as "persistent toxics" or bioaccumulative?			1	
Is the loc	at or included on the collection system map?		<b>4</b>		
Are there any indu	ustrial c scharges that could reach CSO outfalls?		<b>V</b>		
If yes, ha	ve any industrial dischargers been identified as contributing to a water quality impa	irment <sup>3</sup>	<b>V</b>		
If yes, do collection	es the industry have a holding tank or EQ tank to store wastewater prior to discharn system?	ge to the	<b>V</b>		
If yes, do	es the industry have a written plan to store or hold discharges during rain events?			<b>V</b>	
If yes, ha	s the industry been asked to prepare a written plan to store or hold discharges?			<b>V</b>	
	ave there been negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers value impac ≤ during CSO events? Describe below.	which will		<b>V</b>	
	ear, are any negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers plar ally requee impacts during CSO events? Describe below.	nned		<b></b>	
	$\psi$ THIS <b>EMP</b> IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE <b>SPDES</b> PERMIT, AND THE OF S. (Attac'n extra sheet if necessary)	BJECTIVES OF	THE EPA	NINE	
- Replaced an ex	moved illegally connected sanitary sewer to Harbor Brook Storm Sewer on Existing sanitary sewer in East 10½ Street for five (5) residential dwellings with we wanter to an existing sanitary sewer in East Seneca Street. This was a sanitary sewer in East Seneca Street.	n a new sa	ınitary s		
sanitary sewer co	wards the end of 2015 we sat down with DEC to further discuss Harbor Brook onnections. In 2017 an SSES was performed on Harbor Brook. A report was ingineering per consent order. Waiting for DEC response.				
	9				

	TEF	

PART III - CSO B	BEST MANAGEMENT P	RACTICES
------------------	-------------------	----------

4. Maximize Flow to POTW 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 2.8(a)(2), and 2.8(a)(5)  (EPA NMC: Maximum Flow to POTW for Treatment)  N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were the headworks, primary treatment works and disinfection works able to pass the flows specified in the permit for all wet weather flows?	<b>V</b>		
In the past year, was the secondary treatment works able to treat the flows specified in the permit for all wet weather flows?	<b>√</b>		
If the answer to eith≘r of the above questions was No, has a plan and schedule to accomplish this been submitted to the Department?			<b>✓</b>
In the past year have there been any physical modifications to the collection system which have allowed more flow to reach the PCTW? Describe below.		<b>/</b>	
Are any physical modifications planned for the upcoming year?		<b>√</b>	
Are there areas of the collection system, including pump stations that need additional study to evaluate capacity, condition, or to determine if illegal connections (i.e. inflow) exist? List below		<b>V</b>	
In the past year, have any new problem areas been identified that restrict flow to the plant? List locations below		<b>V</b>	
In the upcoming vear, are there plans to address hydraulic restrictions or bottlenecks?		<b>/</b>	
Pipe replacement		1	
Construction of Palief sewer		1	
Construction of averflow tank		1	
Pump station morovements		1	
Pump replacement		<b>V</b>	
Weir adjustment		<b>√</b>	
Smoke testing, de testing to identify illicit connections		✓	
Other:		1	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS EMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. Attach extra sheet if necessary)	THEEPA	AIVINE	

1	D	c	D	٨	Λ	IT	rc	<b>c</b> '	N	٨		10	
	۲	Ŀ	ĸ	П١	/1	11	۱Ŀ	Ė.	IV	А	N	IE.	:

I CRIVILLICE IVAIVIE.	011.501.00	wego					DLDE2 LEKI	III NO.: IN	-00291	114	
		PA	RT III - (	CSO BEST	MANAGE	MENT PRACTI	CES		SAIR		
5. Wet Weather	Operating F	lan (WWOF	) 6 NYCR	R 750-2.8(	a) (EPA NA	AC: None)		N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Has a WWOP been cweather events while operation?									<b>V</b>		
In the past year, did tupon return to norm	al service?									<b>√</b>	
Has the WWOP been POTWs with Combine						et Weather O	perating P	ractices fo	<b>V</b>		
Has the WWOP been approval?	bettier dus	to the Regio	nal Office	and Bure	au of Wate	er Permits (All	oany) for r	eview and	<b>V</b>		
If the collection systematics flow rates or new pro-		nas been mo	dified or	upgraded,	, has the W	/WOP been m	odified to	reflect new			<b>✓</b>
If yes, has t	ne r <del>ev</del> ised pl	an been sub	mitted to	o the Regio	onal Office	for approval?					1
Does the plan ident disinfection units?	y the maxim	num flows th	rough pr	eliminary,	prlmary, s	econdary trea	itment, tei	tiary, and	<b>V</b>		
In the upcoming year	r, are change	es to the pla	n expect	ed?						1	
			10 10								
			£								

PERM		A 1	
PERM	1111	MAK	ΛĿ.

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Oswego SPDES P	ERMIT NO.: NY-	00291	14	
	PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
	on of D <b>y</b> Weather Overflows 6 NYCRR 750-2.7 and 2.8(b)(2) C: Elimi •ate Dry Weather Overflows)		YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, we	ene there any dry weather overflows? If no, skip to BMP 7.			1	
Were all dry weath	ner overflows reported in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 750-2.7 (incident rep	orting)?		1	
If dry weather over	rflows accurred, indicate which procedures or equipment have been improve	ed or replaced			1
Schedule	for routine inspections				<b>V</b>
Managem	nent, operation and maintenance program				<b>√</b>
Modificat	ion of existing or issuance of new inter-municipal agreements				<b>V</b>
FOG prog	ram				<b>V</b>
Removal	of illicit connections				<b>V</b>
I/I Contro	program				<b>V</b>
Leaky tide	egates				<b>4</b>
Adjustme	nt and or repair of regulators				<b>V</b>
Pumps					<b>V</b>
Auxiliary p	pcwer				<b>V</b>
Eliminatio	on of hadraulic bottlenecks				<b>V</b>
Adequate	ecry weather flow capacity at the treatment plant				1
Other, list	t t elov.				<b>V</b>
Has additional sta	f training been provided?				<b>√</b>
Has the likelihood below.	of future dry weather overflows been eliminated? If not, describe additional	information	<b>√</b>		
	W THIS EMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND S. [Attach extra sheet if necessary)	THE OBJECTIVES OF	тне ЕРА	NINE	
2015 - A new bad	ckup d esel generator was installed to replace our 44 year old unit.				
By October 2016 were replaced.	Main Lift Pump control panel and level sensors were replaced and all S	3CADA back u	p power	· suppli	ies

PERI	MITTER	NAME:

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
7. Control of Floatables and Settleable Solids 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(4)  (EPA NMC: Cont. ol of Solid and Floatable Materials in CSOs)	/A YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were dic any outfalls discharge floating solids, oil and grease, or solids of sewage origin	7	<b>V</b>	
Have BMPs been implemented to eliminate or minimize the discharge of floatables and settleable solids	7		
Have any of the following measures been implemented (either existing from previous years, in the past or will any be implemented in the upcoming year? If significant progress has been made in implementing these, or if significant improvements have occurred, describe below.	year)		<b>V</b>
Floatables quantification		1	
Booming ard skimming of open waters		1	
Source controls street cleaning, public education, household hazardous waste collection, solid collection, secycling, and/or composting of lawn/leaf/roadkill deer)	waste 🗸		
In-line netting		1	
Screens		1	
Catch basin hoods	1	A	
Other:			1
Are any changes needed or planned for the upcoming year? Describe additional information below.		1	
See attached stre∈t sweeping letter.			

PER	J N / I I I	T 6 6 P	JAME:	

City of Oswego SPDES PERMIT No.: NY-0029114

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
8. Combined Sewe System Replacement 6 NYCRR 750-2.10(i) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A	
In the past year, were any combined sewers designed or constructed that were not approved by DEC?		1		
If yes, was the combined sewer replaced by separate sanitary and storm sewers to the greatest extent poss ble?			✓	
If yes, were the separate sanitary and storm sewers designed and constructed simultaneously but without intercornections to the maximum extent practicable?			✓	
Is the combined portion of the collection system completely identified on maps or GIS?	<b>V</b>			
Are there any plans or au rent projects to separate combined sewers into sanitary and storm sewers?		1		
Is there an approved engineering plan for this project?			1	
In the past year, how many areas of combined sewer were separated? acres	Te & III			
In the upcoming year, how many areas of combined sewer are scheduled to be separated?				
Are the sewer replacement projects on schedule? If no, describe below.	1	ITT	1	
Overall, has the implementation of this BMP resulted in fewer overflow events and/or less volume				
discharged? Describe below.	\ <u>\</u>			
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BIMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. Attach extra sheet if necessary)	F THE EPA	NINE		
We have had fewer overfows from Outfall #002.				
2014 and 2015 we had a lotal of 4 each year.				
In 2016 we had 3 bu the <del>▼</del> olume was higher.				
In 2017 we had 13 but precipitation was extremely high during the year.				
In 2018 we had 3 ev∈nts with one high volume event due to snowmelt and rain in January.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Den	6 417	 NI.	ME:	

Cit<u>▼</u> of Oswego

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
12. Control of Run-off 6 NYCRR750- 2.1(e) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A	
Is sediment in runoff from construction zones entering catch basins in the combined sewer system?	1.	<b>V</b>		
Is there adequate communication between the local municipal department that enforces local stormwater codes and ordinances and the collection system staff regarding stormwater runoff?	<b>\</b>			
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have adequate storm water pollution prevention programs to reduce pollutants in stormwater?	<b>✓</b>			
Annual household hazardous waste collection	✓			
Autumn leaf coll-ection	<b>V</b>			
Lawn clippings	<b>V</b>			
Christmas tree p ckup	1			
Roadkill deer composting		1		
Fertilizer and perticide management		1		
Enforcement of litter laws	1			
Public education programs on composting		1		
Are any changes needed r the Implementation of this BMP to reduce the number of CSO events, the volume discharged, or pollutants in the discharge? If yes, describe below.		<b>V</b>		

Denk	ALTECE	NAME:

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
13. Public Notificati n 6 NYCRR 750-1.12 (EPA NMC: Public Notification) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Have identification signs been installed and maintained at all CSO outfalls owned and operated by the permittee?	<b>V</b>		
Are all signs placed εt or ι ear the outfall?	<b>V</b>		
Are the signs easily readable by the public?	1		
Are the signs a minimum size of 18" by 24"?	1		
Do the signs have white letters on a green background?	<b>V</b>		
Do all the signs contain the following Information:	<b>V</b>		
SPDES permit number	1		
Outfall num ber	1		
Permittee rame contact name and phone number at business office or NYSDEC Division of Water regional contact address and phone number	<b>V</b>		
For waters that are Class 3 or higher, is a public notification program implemented to inform citizens of the location and occurrence of CSO events?	<b>V</b>		
Does this program include a mechanism (public media broadcast, standing beach advisories, newspaper notice, etc) to alert potential users of the receiving waters affected by CSOs?	<b>√</b>		
Does this program include a system to determine the nature and duration of conditions that are potentially narmful to users of these receiving waters due to CSOs?	✓		
Were there any problems in the past year with missing or damaged signs? Describe below.		<b>V</b>	
Is there a written public rotification plan?		1	
Does the plan list all methods used to notify the public of CSO events?	<b>√</b>		
Does the plan list outfalls where signs are posted?			<b>V</b>
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BIMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES MINIMUM CONTROLS. Attach extra sheet if necessary)  NY Alert Notification System is utilized as the public notification method.	S OF THE EF	PA NINE	

PERMITTEE	NAME:
-----------	-------

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
14. Characterization and Monitoring (6 NYCRR 750-1.11(a), 2.5(a) and 2.7(g)) (EPA NMC: Monitoring)	YES	NO	N/A
If required in the permit, has the combined sewer system been characterized to determine the frequency of overflows, and identify GO impacts?	<b>V</b>		
Was a baseline sampling program established as part of the LTCP development?		1	
Are all outfalls monitored during discharge events for:	1		
Flow Volume:	1		
Frequency:	1		
Duration:	1		
If all outfalls are not moritored, explain how sufficient data is obtained to document the success of the BMPs.			<b>V</b>
List locations of rain gauges or the source of data, below.			1
Has a Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan been submitted to the Department for review and approval?		<b>V</b>	
Has the Department approved the Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan?		1	
Has post construction monitoring and modeling of the receiving water begun? Attach results if this has not already peen provided.		<b>V</b>	
No baseline sampling program was established, utilizing 2013 data.  Source of rain data: Wunderground.com (Weather Station at Oswego County Airport)  Has the Department approved the Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan?  PCCM submitted in September 2012. Was approved on March 7, 2017.			
The state of the s			



# Tom Kells

#### Commissioner ~ Department of Public Works

January 29, 2020

To: Robert Johnson, City Engineering Department

From: Tom Kells, Department of Public Works

Re: Street Sweeping

Street sweeping is done on a continual basis beginning in late March until late October, weather permitting.

The sweeping is done as follows: We first use loaders and uniloaders to scrape up sand from the streets which was deposited over the winter. Then, two sweepers begin sweeping the streets starting south of the City and working north until all streets are done. We then start the process over again continuing throughout the year.

City catch basins are cleaned regularly starting in late March until late October, weather permitting. We start by cleaning catch basins on all of the hills on both sides of the City. We then work on the less hilly areas and finally the flat areas. We clean 50% of all catch basins per year. Those that are not done that year will be done first when we get into that area the following year.

All City sanitary lift stations are checked on a daily basis for problems. They are maintained on a quarterly basis for preventative maintenance (degreased & cleaned), with the hours being recorded each time. Twice per year the pumps are pulled out and the oil is dranged, they are check for any problems and the pits are scraped and cleaned.

All City sanitary and storm mains are cleaned on an as-needed basis when we are notified of a problem.

#### TK/kag

Cc: Honorable Mayor, V. Illiam Barlow Jr Mr. Craig Rebear, Sawer Maintenance Supervisor Mr. Kenneth Scherrieble, Superintendent of Wastewater Treatment File